EXHIBIT G

H		
1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP MELISSA T. DAUGHERTY, SB# 227451	
2	E-Mail: Melissa.Daugherty@lewisbrisbois.com RITA R. KANNO, SB# 230679	
3	E-Mail: Rita Kanno@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5treet, Suite 4000	ı
4	Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800	
5	Facsimile: 213.250.7900	
6	Attorneys for Defendants CANDICE	
7	ELAINE WILLIAMS, an individual doing business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES;	
8	KIMBERLY LYNN BACA, an individual doing business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES	
9	ASSOCIATES	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION	
13		
14	TARA ANN BARTOLI, an individual;	CASE NO. 5:18-cv-02643-MWF-KK
15	BRETT THOMAS BARTOLI, an individual, TARA ANN BARTOLI as	DEFENDANT CANDICE ELAINE
16	Guardian ad litem for M.B., and TARA ANN BARTOLI as Guardian ad litem	WILLIAMS' RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR
1	for L.B.,	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE
17	Plaintiffs,	The Hon. Michael W. FITZGERALD
18	vs.	
19	RANCHO CALIFORNIA RV	Trial Date: None Set
20	RESORT OWNERS ASSOCIATION a	
21	California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation; DESERT RESORT	
22	MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation; CARI BURLEIGH, an	
23	individual; CANDICE ELAINE WILLIAMS, an individual doing	
24	business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES; KIMBERLY LYNN BACA, an	
25	KIMBERLY LYNN BACA, an individual doing business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES; and DOES	
26	1 through 10, inclusive,	
27	Defendants.	
20		

DEFENDANT CANDICE ELAINE WILLIAMS' RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS. SET ONE

4828-6967-3376.1

PROPOUNDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF, TARA ANN BARTOLI DEFENDANT, CANDICE ELAINE WILLIAMS **RESPONDING PARTY:** SET NO.: ONE (1) Defendant CANDICE ELAINE WILLIAMS ("Defendant" or "Responding Party") hereby responds to the first set of Plaintiff TARA ANN BARTOLI's ("Plaintiff") Request for Production of Documents, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.010, as follows: PRELIMINARY STATEMENT Defendant has not yet completed its investigation of the facts concerning this 9 case. Formal discovery is ongoing and defendant has not completed trial 11 preparation. Accordingly, these responses are provided without prejudice to the right of defendant to introduce into evidence subsequently gathered information. 12 13 GENERAL OBJECTIONS AND RESERVATIONS 14 As to each and every Request in Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents, Set One, Defendant states the following: 15 16 Defendant has not yet completed its discovery and investigation of the Α. facts giving rise to this action, but has made a diligent, good faith effort to obtain all 18 information responsive to these Requests within Defendant's possession, custody, or 19 | control. Accordingly, these responses are made without prejudice to Defendant's 20 | right to introduce prior to or at the time of trial or otherwise use any additional information it may obtain as a result of Defendant's continuing discovery and 21 investigation, but Defendant assumes no obligation, beyond that imposed by FRCP 23 || 26(e) and 34, to supplement and amend these responses to reflect witnesses, facts, or other information discovered following the date of these responses. 24 Defendant has based these responses on the assumption that Plaintiffs **B**. 25 did not intend to seek information protected against discovery by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine, the right of privacy laws, the

protection afforded trade secrets or any other applicable privilege or protection from

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

disclosure. To the extent that the requests are intended to elicit such privileged or protected information, Defendant objects thereto as to each request and asserts the applicable privilege or protection to the fullest extent permitted by law.

- To the extent that Defendant responds to these requests, Defendant does not concede the relevancy of those responses to this action, nor does it concede that such responses may be used for any purpose in this action or any other action or proceeding. Defendant expressly reserves the right to object to further discovery into the subject matter of any request or any portion thereof.
- D. Defendant objects to each request to the extent that it seeks information equally available to Plaintiffs or information that is not within Defendant's possession, custody, or control.
- E. Defendant objects to the requests to the extent that they are intended to 13 || be and are overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive.
 - F. Defendant objects to each request to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Without waiving any of the foregoing General Objections, each of which applies to each and every one of the individual responses set forth below and is incorporated by this reference therein (whether or not specifically stated in the response), Defendant responds to the individual requests as follows:

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET

REQUEST NO. 1:

All documents you reviewed or relied on when drafting your Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint.

<u>RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:</u>

Defendant refers to and incorporates by reference its General Objections set forth hereinabove. In addition, this request is overbroad in time and scope, seeks

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP MELISSA T. DAUGHERTY, SB# 227451 E-Mail: Melissa Daugherty@lewisbrisbois.com RITA R. KANNO, SB# 230679 E-Mail: Rita.Kanno@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, Califórnia 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900 Attorneys for Defendants CANDICE ELAINE WILLIAMS, an individual doing business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES; KIMBERLY LYNN BACA, an individual doing business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION 11 12 CASE NO. 5:18-cv-02643-MWF-KK TARA ANN BARTOLI, an individual; 13 BRETT THOMAS BARTOLI, an DEFENDANT KIMBERLY LYNN individual, TARA ANN BARTOLI as Guardian ad litem for M.B., and TARA BACA'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ANN BARTOLI as Guardian ad litem for L.B., PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS. SET ONE 16 Plaintiffs. The Hon, Michael W. FITZGERALD 17 VS. Trial Date: None Set 18 RANCHO CALIFORNIA RV RESORT OWNERS ASSOCIATION, a California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation; DESERT RESORT 20 MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation; CARI BURLEIGH, an 21 individual; CANDICE ELAINE WILLIAMS, an individual doing **22** | business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES; KIMBERLY LYNN BACA, an individual doing business as FAIRWAY ASSOCIATES; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 25 Defendants. 26 27 III28

BRISBOIS BISGAARD 8 SMITHUP

4846-2334-8640.1

PROPOUNDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF TARA BARTOLI **RESPONDING PARTY:** DEFENDANT KIMBERLY LYNN BACA 3 SET NO.: ONE (1) Defendant KIMBERLY LYNN BACA ("Defendant" or "Responding Party") hereby responds to the first set of Plaintiff TARA BARTOLI's ("Plaintiff") Request for Production of Documents, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.010, as follows: 7 8 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 9 Defendant has not yet completed its investigation of the facts concerning this case. Formal discovery is ongoing and defendant has not completed trial preparation. Accordingly, these responses are provided without prejudice to the right of defendant to introduce into evidence subsequently gathered information. 13 GENERAL OBJECTIONS AND RESERVATIONS As to each and every Request in Plaintiffs' Request for Production of 1 Documents, Set One, Defendant states the following: 16 Defendant has not yet completed its discovery and investigation of the Α. 17 | facts giving rise to this action, but has made a diligent, good faith effort to obtain all 18 | information responsive to these Requests within Defendant's possession, custody, or control. Accordingly, these responses are made without prejudice to Defendant's 20 | right to introduce prior to or at the time of trial or otherwise use any additional information it may obtain as a result of Defendant's continuing discovery and 21 22 investigation, but Defendant assumes no obligation, beyond that imposed by FRCP 26(e) and 34, to supplement and amend these responses to reflect witnesses, facts, or other information discovered following the date of these responses. 24 25 В. Defendant has based these responses on the assumption that Plaintiffs 26 did not intend to seek information protected against discovery by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine, the right of privacy laws, the

protection afforded trade secrets or any other applicable privilege or protection from

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

disclosure. To the extent that the requests are intended to elicit such privileged or protected information, Defendant objects thereto as to each request and asserts the applicable privilege or protection to the fullest extent permitted by law.

- C. To the extent that Defendant responds to these requests, Defendant does not concede the relevancy of those responses to this action, nor does it concede that such responses may be used for any purpose in this action or any other action or proceeding. Defendant expressly reserves the right to object to further discovery into the subject matter of any request or any portion thereof.
- D. Defendant objects to each request to the extent that it seeks information equally available to Plaintiffs or information that is not within Defendant's possession, custody, or control.
- E. Defendant objects to the requests to the extent that they are intended to be and are overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive.
- F. Defendant objects to each request to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Without waiving any of the foregoing General Objections, each of which applies to each and every one of the individual responses set forth below and is incorporated by this reference therein (whether or not specifically stated in the response), Defendant responds to the individual requests as follows:

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE

REQUEST NO. 1:

All documents you reviewed or relied on when drafting your Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint.

<u>RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:</u>

Defendant refers to and incorporates by reference its General Objections set forth hereinabove. In addition, this request is overbroad in time and scope, seeks